# General Theory of Relativity FSU Jena - WS 2009/2010 Problem set 12 - Solutions

Stilianos Louca

February 19, 2010

## Problem 01

Starting with

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} + h_{\alpha\beta}$$
,  $g^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} - h^{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$ 

whereas

$$h^{\alpha\beta} := \eta^{\alpha\mu} \eta^{\beta\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$$

it follows

$$\Gamma^{\nu}_{\varkappa\lambda} = \frac{g^{\nu\rho}}{2} \left( \partial_{\varkappa} g_{\rho\lambda} + \partial_{\lambda} g_{\varkappa\rho} - \partial_{\rho} g_{\varkappa\lambda} \right) = \frac{\eta^{\nu\rho}}{2} \left( \partial_{\varkappa} h_{\rho\lambda} + \partial_{\lambda} h_{\varkappa\rho} - \partial_{\rho} h_{\varkappa\lambda} \right) + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \tag{0.1}$$

From

$$\Box x^{\nu} = g^{\varkappa\lambda} \nabla_{\varkappa} \nabla_{\lambda} x^{\nu} = g^{\varkappa\lambda} \left( \partial_{\varkappa} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\varkappa\lambda} \right) \underbrace{\nabla_{\rho} x^{\nu}}_{\partial_{\rho} x^{\nu} = \delta^{\nu}_{\rho}} = -g^{\varkappa\lambda} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\varkappa\lambda}$$

$$\stackrel{(0.1)}{=} -\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\varkappa\lambda} \eta^{\nu\rho} \left( \partial_{\varkappa} h_{\rho\lambda} + \partial_{\lambda} h_{\varkappa\rho} - \partial_{\rho} h_{\varkappa\lambda} \right) + \mathcal{O}(h^{2}) = -\eta^{\varkappa\lambda} \eta^{\nu\rho} \partial_{\varkappa} h_{\lambda\rho} + \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\varkappa\lambda} \eta^{\nu\rho} \partial_{\rho} h_{\varkappa\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(h^{2})$$

$$= -\partial_{\varkappa} \underbrace{\eta^{\varkappa\lambda} \eta^{\nu\rho} h_{\lambda\rho}}_{=:h^{\varkappa\nu}} + \frac{\eta^{\nu\rho}}{2} \partial_{\rho} \underbrace{\eta^{\varkappa\lambda} h_{\varkappa\lambda}}_{=:h} + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$

one can see the equivalence

$$\Box x^{\nu} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad g^{\varkappa \lambda} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\varkappa \lambda} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \partial_{\varkappa} h^{\varkappa \nu} - \frac{\eta^{\varkappa \nu}}{2} \partial_{\varkappa} h = 0 + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \tag{0.2}$$

With the definition

$$\overline{h}^{\varkappa\nu} := h^{\varkappa\nu} - \frac{\eta^{\varkappa\nu}}{2}h$$

it is clear that

$$\Box x^{\nu} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \partial_{\varkappa} \overline{h}^{\varkappa \nu} = 0 + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$
(0.3)

# Problem 02

(a) Due to symmetry, the two objects are always located at symmetrical positions  $\pm x(t)$  about the origin, while according to Newton

$$\ddot{x} = -\frac{MG}{(2x)^2}$$

Substituting  $v := \dot{x}$  one obtains the start-value problem

$$v'v = \frac{dv}{dx}\frac{dx}{dt} = \dot{v} = \ddot{x} = -\frac{MG}{4x^2} \quad , \quad v(\infty) = 0$$

which solves as

$$v(x) = -\sqrt{\frac{MG}{2x}}$$

Finally, the start-value-problem

$$\dot{x}(t) = -\sqrt{\frac{MG}{2x}} \quad , \quad x(0) = 0$$

solves as

$$\int_{0}^{x} \sqrt{x} \, dx = -\sqrt{\frac{MG}{2}} \int_{0}^{t} dt \quad , \quad t \leq 0$$

that is

$$x(t) = \left(\frac{9MG}{8}t^2\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \tag{0.4}$$

(b) The Newtonian approximation is only reasonable if the resulting equation of motion (EOM) of the objects reflects the one obtained from a general relativistic point of view, in particular the geodesic equation

$$\ddot{x}^1 = -\Gamma^1_{\varkappa\lambda} \dot{x}^{\varkappa} \dot{x}^{\lambda} \stackrel{!}{\approx} -\partial_x \Phi$$

where  $\Phi = -MG/(2x)$  is the classical potential for one object in the others field. For low speeds, that is  $\dot{x}^i \ll \dot{x}^0$ , this is equivalent to

$$\partial_x \Phi \stackrel{!}{\approx} \underbrace{\Gamma^1_{00}}_{\in \mathcal{O}(h)} \cdot \underbrace{\dot{x}^0 \dot{x}^0}_{\approx \frac{1}{|g_{tt}|}} \approx \Gamma^1_{00} \stackrel{(0.1)}{\approx} \partial_0 h_{01} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_1 h_{00}$$

For slowly changing fields, that is,  $|\partial_0 h_{01}| \ll |\partial_1 h_{00}|$ , one obtains

$$h_{00} \approx -2\Phi \tag{0.5}$$

For small  $|h_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1$  the Newtonian EOM are up to zeroth order in h the same as if obtained from the Einstein field equations and geodetical EOM. But as the waves created are of order  $\mathcal{O}(h)$  this is adequate, as any corrections would be of higher order in h!

#### (c) The wave-equation

Using (0.1) we obtain the Riemann-curvature tensor to first order in h:

$$R^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\mu} + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \stackrel{(0.1)}{=} \frac{\eta^{\alpha\rho}}{2} \left[\partial_{\mu\beta}h_{\rho\nu} - \partial_{\nu\beta}h_{\mu\rho} - \partial_{\mu\rho}h_{\nu\beta} + \partial_{\nu\rho}h_{\mu\beta}\right] + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$
(0.6)

and consequently the Ricci-tensor

$$R_{\beta\nu} = R^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\alpha\nu} \stackrel{(0.6)}{=} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \partial_{\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha}{}_{\nu} + \partial_{\alpha\nu} h^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} - \partial_{\nu\beta} h - \Box h_{\beta\nu} \right] + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$
(0.7)

The Ricci-scalar

$$R = \eta^{\beta\nu} R_{\beta\nu} + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \stackrel{(0.7)}{=} \partial_{\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha\beta} - \Box h + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$
(0.8)

finally leads to the Einstein-tensor

$$G_{\beta\nu} = R_{\beta\nu} - \frac{\eta_{\beta\nu}}{2}R + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \stackrel{(0.7)}{=} \frac{\partial_{\beta}}{2} \left( \partial_{\alpha}h^{\alpha}{}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\frac{h}{2} \right) + \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{2} \left( \partial_{\alpha}h^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}\frac{h}{2} \right) - \frac{\Box}{2} \underbrace{\left( h_{\beta\nu} - \eta_{\beta\nu}\frac{h}{2} \right)}_{\overline{h_{\beta\nu}}} - \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta_{\beta\nu}\partial_{\lambda} \left( \partial_{\alpha}h^{\alpha}{}_{\rho} - \partial_{\rho}\frac{h}{2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \qquad (0.9)$$

Choosing harmonic gauge condition  $\Box x^{\mu} = 0$ , that is

$$\eta^{\rho\nu} \left( \partial_{\alpha} h^{\alpha}{}_{\rho} - \partial_{\rho} \frac{h}{2} \right) \stackrel{(0.2)}{=} 0 + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$

or equivalently<sup>1</sup>

$$\partial_{\alpha}h^{\alpha}{}_{\rho} - \partial_{\rho}\frac{h}{2} = 0 + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \tag{0.10}$$

leads to

$$G_{\beta\nu} = -\frac{\Box}{2}\overline{h}_{\beta\nu} + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \tag{0.11}$$

The Einstein-Field-Equations thus imply the wave-equation

$$\Box \overline{h}_{\mu\nu} = -16\pi G T_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \tag{0.12}$$

we shall be considered only to first order in h.

#### Solving the wave-equation for a single object

Any solution can be written in the form

$$\overline{h}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \int \overline{h}_{\mu\nu}(k) e^{ix^{\lambda}k_{\lambda}} dk \qquad (0.13)$$

while the vacuum equations  $\Box \overline{h}_{\mu\nu} = 0$  imply

$$0 = \overline{h}_{\mu\nu}(k) \cdot \Box e^{ix^{\lambda}k_{\lambda}} = -\overline{h}_{\mu\nu}(k) \cdot k_{\lambda}k^{\lambda}$$

that is

$$\eta(\varkappa,\varkappa) = 0 \quad \forall \ \overline{h}_{\mu\nu}(k) \neq 0 \tag{0.14}$$

Moreover, the harmonic gauge we demanded is equivalent to

$$0 = \overline{h}_{\mu\nu}(k) \cdot \partial_{\mu} e^{ix^{\lambda}k_{\lambda}} = ik_{\mu}\overline{h}^{\mu\nu}(k)$$
(0.15)

that is, the spectral perturbation components  $\overline{h}_{\mu\nu}(k)$  are perpendicular to the wave-vector k!. As is known, the (retarded) Greens function of the D'Alembert-Operator  $\Box$  is given by

$$G(x,y) = G(x-y) = -\frac{\delta \left( \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| - (x^0 - y^0) \right)}{4\pi \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|}$$
(0.16)

and thus

$$\overline{h}^{ij}(x) \stackrel{(0,12)}{=} -16\pi G \int G(x,y) \cdot T^{ij}(y) \ dy \stackrel{(0,16)}{=} 4G \int \frac{T^{ij}(t - \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|, \mathbf{y})}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|} \ d^3\mathbf{y}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that  $(\eta^{\rho\nu})$  is invertible.

We shall first consider a source, at each time-point t concentrated in a relatively narrow space-region  $\mathbf{z}(t)$  (later  $T \sim \delta$ ). Assuming that the source (or T for that matter) is moving at a speed much lower than 1, that is, the support supp f of

$$f(\mathbf{y}) := T(t - \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|, \mathbf{y})$$

is small with respect to  $\|\mathbf{x}\|$ , concentrated around  $\mathbf{z}(t)$ , implies

$$\overline{h}^{ij}(t, \mathbf{x}) \approx \frac{4G}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}(t)\|} \int T^{ij} \left(\underbrace{t - \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}(t)\|}_{\tau = \tau(t)}, \mathbf{y}\right) d^3 \mathbf{y}$$

Partial integration leads to

$$\overline{h}^{ij}(t, \mathbf{x}) \approx \frac{4G}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}(t)\|} \cdot \left\{ \underbrace{\int \partial_{y^k} \left( y^i T^{kj}(\tau, \mathbf{y}) \right) \, d^3 \mathbf{y}}_{\text{surface integral}} - \int y^i \underbrace{\partial_k T^{kj}}_{-\partial_0 T^{0j}}(\tau, \mathbf{y}) \, d^3 \mathbf{y} \right\} = \frac{4G}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}(t)\|} \cdot \int y^i \partial_0 T^{0j}(\tau, \mathbf{y}) \, d^3 \mathbf{y}$$

$$\frac{4G}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}(t)\|} \cdot \left\{ \underbrace{\int \partial_{y^k} \left( y^j y^i \partial_0 T^{0k}(\tau, \mathbf{y}) \right)}_{\text{surface integral}} d^3 \mathbf{y} - \int y^j y^i \partial_0 \underbrace{\partial_k T^{0k}}_{-\partial_0 T^{00}}(\tau, \mathbf{y}) d^3 \mathbf{y} - \underbrace{\int y^j \partial_0 T^{0i}(\tau, \mathbf{y}) d^3 \mathbf{y}}_{\text{since } h^{ij} = h^{ji}} \right\}$$

$$=\frac{2G}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z}(t)\|}\cdot\int y^{i}y^{j}\partial_{00}T^{00}(\tau,\mathbf{y})\ d^{3}\mathbf{y}=\frac{2G}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z}(t)\|}\cdot\partial_{00}\underbrace{\int y^{i}y^{j}T^{00}\big|_{(\tau,\mathbf{y})}\ d^{3}\mathbf{y}}_{\sim\text{quadrupole moment}}$$

Similarly, by only performing one partial integration, we obtain

$$\overline{h}^{i0}(t, \mathbf{x}) \approx \frac{4G}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}(t)\|} \cdot \int y^i \partial_0 T^{00}(\tau, \mathbf{y}) \ d^3 \mathbf{y}$$
(0.17)

Specifically, for an object moving slowly along a world-line  $\mathbf{z}(t)$ , that is,  $T^{00}(t, \mathbf{y}) \approx M\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}(t))$ , one gets

$$\overline{h}^{ij}(t,\mathbf{x}) \approx \frac{2GM}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}(t)\|} \cdot \partial_{00} \left( z^i z^j \right) \big|_{\tau(t)}$$
(0.18)

and

$$\overline{h}^{i0}(t, \mathbf{x}) \approx \frac{4GM}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}(t)\|} \cdot \partial_0 z^i \big|_{\tau}$$
(0.19)

$$\overline{h}^{00}(t, \mathbf{x}) \approx \frac{4GM}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}(t)\|} \tag{0.20}$$

In particular for i = j = x:

$$\overline{h}_{xx}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \overline{h}^{xx}(t, \mathbf{x}) \approx \frac{2GM}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}(t)\|} \cdot \partial_{00}(x^2)\big|_{\tau}$$
(0.21)

### The perturbation for two colliding objects

As ODE (0.12) is linear, solutions for more than one *sources* turn out to be the sums of individual solutions. For the two objects<sup>2</sup> calculated in (1) one obtains:

$$\overline{h}_{xx}(t,0,R,0) \stackrel{(0.21)}{\approx} 4GM \cdot \frac{\partial_{00} x^2 \big|_{\tau}}{\sqrt{R^2 + x^2(t)}} = \frac{4GM}{9\sqrt{R^2 + x^2(t)}} \cdot \left(\frac{9M}{\tau(t)}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

$$=\frac{4GM}{9\sqrt{R^{2}+x^{2}(t)}}\cdot\left(\frac{9M}{t-\sqrt{R^{2}+x^{2}(t)}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Assuming low mass, such that  $\dot{x} \ll 1$ .

As  $z^2 = z^3 = 0$  for both objects, obviously  $\overline{h}^{ij} = 0$  for all  $(i, j) \neq (1, 1)$ . Thus, the perturbation  $\overline{h}_{\mu\nu}$  has trace

$$\overline{h} := \overline{h}^{\mu}{}_{\mu} = \eta_{00}\overline{h}^{00} + \eta_{11}\overline{h}^{xx} \approx \frac{4GM}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}(t)\|} \cdot \left[\partial_{00}(x^2)\big|_{\tau} - 2\right]$$

With

$$h^{\mu\nu} = \overline{h}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{2}h^{\ \overline{h} = -h} \overline{h}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{2}\overline{h}$$

it follows

$$h_{xx}(t, \mathbf{x}) = h^{xx}(t, \mathbf{x}) \approx \frac{2GM}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}(t)\|} \cdot \partial_{00}(x^2)|_{\tau} + \frac{4GM}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}(t)\|}$$
$$= \frac{2GM}{\sqrt{R^2 + x^2(t)}} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{9M}{t - \sqrt{R^2 + x^2(t)}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} + 2\right]$$
(0.22)

## Problem 03

As was seen in problem (02), the Lorenz gauge  $\partial_{\mu}\overline{h}^{\mu\nu}$  leads to the field equations

$$\Box \overline{h}^{\mu\nu} = -16\pi G T^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \tag{0.23}$$

whereas

$$\overline{h}^{\mu\nu}:=h^{\mu\nu}-\frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{2}h$$

Thus the equations of motion  $\nabla_{\nu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$  imply

$$0 \stackrel{(0.23)}{=} \nabla_{\nu} \Box \overline{h}^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(h^{2}) \stackrel{\Box = g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}}{=} \partial_{\nu}\eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}\overline{h}^{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\nu}h^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}\Box \overline{h}^{\mu\nu} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\lambda}\Box \overline{h}^{\lambda\nu} + \Gamma^{\nu}_{\nu\lambda}\Box \overline{h}^{\lambda\nu} + \Gamma^{\nu}_{\nu\lambda}\Box \overline{h}^{\mu\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(h^{2})$$
$$= \eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}\underbrace{\partial_{\nu}\overline{h}^{\mu\nu}}_{0} + \underbrace{\partial_{\nu}h^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}\Box \overline{h}^{\mu\nu} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\lambda}\Box \overline{h}^{\lambda\nu} + \Gamma^{\nu}_{\nu\lambda}\Box \overline{h}^{\mu\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(h^{2})}_{\in\mathcal{O}(h^{2})} = 0 + \mathcal{O}(h^{2})$$

which is true only up to first order in h.